



International Journal of  
Organizational Innovation

## THE SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACT OF GOJEK IN INDONESIA: A PLATFORM ECONOMY CASE STUDY

Anders Granmo

College of Management, Chung Hua University, Taiwan  
[anders@chu.edu.tw](mailto:anders@chu.edu.tw)

Ming-Lang Yeh

College of Management, Chung Hua University, Taiwan  
[mlyeh@chu.edu.tw](mailto:mlyeh@chu.edu.tw)

Suryanty Suma Ferdinanto

Department of Business Administration, Chung Hua University,  
postgraduate of the master's program,  
[yantisf23@gmail.com](mailto:yantisf23@gmail.com)

### Abstract

Gojek, Indonesia's first decacorn and a dominant force in Southeast Asia's platform economy, has transformed urban transportation and economic participation since its inception. This study analyzes Gojek's impact on Indonesia's transportation infrastructure, employment patterns, and digital financial inclusion. Using a mixed-methods approach, the research draws on official statistics, case studies, and interview data to examine how Gojek's multi-service platform has disrupted traditional industries while creating new livelihood opportunities. Findings indicate that Gojek has not only reduced urban transportation inefficiencies but has also formalized informal labor and expanded access to digital payments. However, these gains are tempered by regulatory tensions, worker precarity, and platform monopolization concerns. The study contributes to the literature on Southeast Asian digital economies by contextualizing the dual role of platform firms as both economic enablers and institutional disruptors.

Keywords: transportation infrastructure, digital economies

## Introduction

Digital platforms are redefining markets, labor relations, and service delivery globally. In emerging economies, platform companies often function not only as market intermediaries but as infrastructure providers—particularly in transportation and financial services (Kenney & Zysman, 2016). Gojek, which originated in Jakarta as a call-based motorcycle taxi (“ojek”) dispatcher, has evolved into a technology conglomerate offering over 20 services under one application (Gojek, 2021). Its ascent reflects broader platformization trends, where software mediates physical services in complex regulatory environments (Plantin et al., 2018).

As Indonesia’s first decacorn, Gojek is widely credited with improving transportation efficiency and creating flexible job opportunities for over two million driver-partners (Google et al., 2019). At the same time, its rise raises concerns about algorithmic management, labor protections, and competition in urban service markets (Rathi, 2020; Woodcock & Graham, 2020). The goal of this study is to critically examine Gojek’s impact on Indonesia’s transportation systems, employment landscape, and digital financial inclusion efforts. We situate this analysis within scholarship on platform economies, informal labor formalization, and Southeast Asian urban infrastructure (Ford & Honan, 2019; Graham et al., 2017).

## Theoretical Framework

This study draws on the conceptual framework of the platform economy, which refers to socio-technical infrastructures that mediate interactions between producers and consumers through digital applications (Kenney & Zysman, 2016; Srnicek, 2017). Platform firms such as Gojek occupy a dual position as both market actors and quasi-regulatory entities, reconfiguring access to labor, mobility, and digital infrastructure (Plantin et al., 2018).

We also incorporate insights from labor platform theory, which examines how platforms structure labor relationships via algorithmic management and non-standard employment (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016; Woodcock & Graham, 2020). While platforms offer flexible work and new income streams, they also generate uncertainty by outsourcing risk to workers, often without social protections (De Stefano, 2016). Gojek, as a hybrid of transport, logistics, and fintech, presents a rich case for analyzing these tensions in the Indonesian context.

Lastly, we frame Gojek’s development through the lens of inclusive innovation and digital inclusion. Emerging economies often adopt platforms as tools for expanding access to services and markets, but such expansion is shaped by existing institutional constraints and informality (Heeks et al., 2013; Graham et al., 2017). Gojek’s integration of digital payments, logistics, and employment

services offers a test case for evaluating whether digital transformation fosters systemic inclusion or reproduces new forms of exclusion.

### Literature Review

Literature on digital platforms has expanded rapidly in recent years, particularly in the context of emerging markets. Srnicek (2017) identifies platform capitalism as a model built on the extraction and commodification of user data, while van Dijck et al. (2018) emphasize the infrastructural role of platforms in governing social and economic interactions. In Southeast Asia, firms like Gojek, Grab, and Tokopedia illustrate region-specific models that blend Western platform logic with local labor and regulatory structures (Ford & Hohnan, 2019).

Transportation platforms have been praised for increasing urban efficiency, reducing transaction costs, and formalizing segments of the informal economy (Cramer & Krueger, 2016; Gojek, 2021). However, critics point to issues of algorithmic opacity, labor precarity, and declining bargaining power among gig workers (Rosenblat, 2018; Graham et al., 2017). In Indonesia, these dynamics are compounded by weak regulatory enforcement, fragmented infrastructure, and large informal labor markets (ILO, 2020).

Financial inclusion is another axis of impact. Gojek's e-wallet, GoPay, has introduced millions to digital finance

and contributed to cashless transaction growth (Google et al., 2019). Yet digital inclusion is uneven, shaped by regional disparities, smartphone access, and digital literacy (Sihombing & Nainggolan, 2021). As such, the role of Gojek in financial transformation remains contingent on broader infrastructure and policy frameworks.

### Methodology

This research adopts a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative data to assess Gojek's impact on Indonesia's transportation systems, employment structures, and digital financial inclusion. Secondary data were drawn from government publications, Gojek's internal reports, and third-party industry studies (e.g., Google et al., 2019; ILO, 2020). In addition, primary data were collected via structured interviews with 15 Gojek driver-partners in Jakarta and Surabaya, along with two platform management staff. The interviews focused on working conditions, earnings variability, digital literacy, and platform governance experiences.

Quantitative data analysis focused on publicly available transport statistics and economic indicators between 2015 and 2022. Key variables included urban commute times, vehicle ownership rates, and adoption of e-wallets such as GoPay. Descriptive statistics and simple trend analyses were used to assess changes in transportation efficiency and

financial inclusion before and after Gojek's expansion.

### Results

The data indicate that Gojek has brought tangible socioeconomic benefits to Indonesia's urban populations. Most notably, the platform has enabled flexible employment for millions of informal workers, especially in transportation and delivery services. Analysis of interview

responses with 15 driver-partners in Jakarta and Surabaya revealed a range of motivations, income levels, and work experiences. Most drivers worked between 30 to 60 hours per week and earned between IDR 150,000 to 300,000 per day. While they expressed satisfaction with work flexibility, they also reported volatility in income due to shifting platform incentives and a lack of formal social protection.

Variable	Most Common Response
Age Range	25–45
Primary Motivation	Income supplement
Weekly Hours Worked	30–60 hours
Average Daily Earnings	IDR 150,000–300,000 (USD 10–20)
Access to Social Security	None or informal coverage
Satisfaction with Flexibility	High (but qualified by instability)

Table 1: Gojek Driver-Partner Profile (Interview Sample)

In terms of transportation infrastructure, Gojek has played a role in improving last-mile connectivity and reducing congestion through motorcycle-based ride-sharing. Quantitative data from Jakarta shows moderate improvements in urban commute times since

2015, although causality remains difficult to isolate. Gojek's integrated service ecosystem has also enabled hyperlocal commerce and just-in-time delivery for informal food and parcel vendors.

Indicator	2015	2022
Registered Gojek drivers (approx.)	50,000	2,000,000
GoPay active users	4 million	30+ million
Avg. daily rides in Jakarta	200,000	2,000,000
Unbanked adults (% World Bank)	49%	36%

Table 2: Selected Indicators of Gojek's Impact (2015-2022)

Year	Users (approx.)	% of Population	Partner Merchants
2016	4 million	~1.5%	~30,000
2019	22 million	~8%	~250,000
2022	30+ million	~11%	500,000+

Table 3: Gopay Usage Growth and Market Penetration

#### Discussion

The findings illustrate Gojek's dual role as both a socioeconomic catalyst and a disruptor of existing institutional norms. On one hand, the platform has generated flexible income opportunities, expanded urban mobility options, and facilitated digital payment adoption in a country historically constrained by

infrastructure and informality (Ford & Honan, 2019; Heeks et al., 2013). On the other hand, the algorithmic management of gig labor has introduced new layers of worker insecurity, echoing concerns raised in broader platform labor scholarship (Rosenblat & Stark, 2016; Woodcock & Graham, 2020).

Metric	2015	2018	2022
Avg. commute time (mins)	68	61	58
Motorbike ride-share penetration	<10%	25%	60%+
Avg. daily ride-share bookings	~200,000	~1.2 million	~2 million

Table 4: Mobility and Ride-Use Trends in Jakarta (2015–2022)

Driver interviews reveal a recurring tension between autonomy and unpredictability. While Gojek offers drivers the freedom to choose their working hours, this flexibility is counterbalanced by opaque rating systems, platform commissions, and frequent shifts in incentive structures. These dynamics mirror patterns observed in Western platform economies, but in Indonesia they are

intensified by weaker social protections and regulatory enforcement (ILO, 2020).

From an infrastructural perspective, Gojek has mitigated some urban congestion by streamlining short-distance transport, particularly through motorbike taxis. Its GoFood and GoSend services have also contributed to hyper-local economic integration, connecting

informal vendors with digital consumers (Google et al., 2019). However, its dominance has prompted concerns about monopolization, crowding out traditional services, and exerting control over labor markets without proportional accountability (Srnicek, 2017; Plantin et al., 2018).

Financially, the rise of GoPay has boosted cashless transaction volumes and helped integrate many previously unbanked users into the formal financial system. Nevertheless, disparities persist. Digital finance adoption varies significantly across regions, with rural areas still facing barriers to connectivity, smartphone access, and financial literacy (Sihombing & Nainggolan, 2021).

#### Conclusion and Implications

Gojek exemplifies the promises and perils of platform economies in emerging markets. While the company has democratized access to transportation, employment, and finance, it has also introduced new forms of precarity and raised complex regulatory questions. For policymakers, the challenge lies in developing a framework that preserves innovation while enforcing labor protections and promoting inclusive growth. For platform firms, sustainability will depend not only on scalability and profitability, but on transparency, accountability, and long-term trust with users and workers alike.

Future research should explore comparative platform trajectories in Southeast Asia, as well as longitudinal

studies of gig worker well-being. As Indonesia and its neighbors deepen their digital transformations, cases like Gojek offer valuable lessons for balancing technological disruption with social responsibility.

#### References

- Bank Indonesia. (2023). Statistik Sistem Pembayaran. <https://www.bi.go.id>
- Cramer, J., & Krueger, A. B. (2016). Disruptive change in the taxi business: The case of Uber. *American Economic Review*, 106(5), 177–182.
- De Stefano, V. (2016). The rise of the ‘just-in-time workforce’: On-demand work, crowdwork, and labor protection in the gig economy. *Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal*, 37(3), 471–504.
- Ford, M., & Honan, V. (2019). The limits of formalization: Informal transport workers and global unionism in Indonesia. *Journal of Industrial Relations*, 61(4), 528–545.
- Gojek. (2021). Gojek Company Report. <https://www.gojek.com/about>
- Google, Temasek, & Bain & Company. (2019). e-Conomy SEA 2019. <https://economysea.with-google.com/>
- Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Digital labour and

- development: Impacts of global digital labour platforms and the gig economy on worker livelihoods. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research*, 23(2), 135–162.
- Heeks, R., Foster, C., & Nugroho, Y. (2013). New models of inclusive innovation for development. *Innovation and Development*, 3(1), 1–16.
- ILO. (2020). Digital Labour Platforms in Indonesia: Opportunities and Challenges. International Labour Organization.
- Jakarta Transportation Agency. (2022). Urban Mobility Index: Jakarta 2022 Report.
- Kenney, M., & Zysman, J. (2016). The rise of the platform economy. *Issues in Science and Technology*, 32(3), 61–69.
- Kalleberg, A. L. (2009). Precarious work, insecure workers: Employment relations in transition. *American Sociological Review*, 74(1), 1–22.
- Nugroho, Y., & Syarif, A. (2020). Digital platforms and employment: Understanding the gig economy in Indonesia. Centre for Innovation Policy and Governance (CIPG).
- Plantin, J. C., Lagoze, C., Edwards, P. N., & Sandvig, C. (2018). Infrastructure studies meet platform studies in the age of Google and Facebook. *New Media & Society*, 20(1), 293–310.
- Rathi, A. (2020). Gojek's rise and the problem of algorithmic governance in Indonesia. Digital Asia Hub.
- Rosenblat, A. (2018). *Uberland: How Algorithms Are Rewriting the Rules of Work*. University of California Press.
- Rosenblat, A., & Stark, L. (2016). Algorithmic labor and information asymmetries: A case study of Uber's drivers. *International Journal of Communication*, 10, 3758–3784.
- Sihombing, R., & Nainggolan, D. (2021). Digital payments and financial inclusion in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Monetary Economics and Banking*, 24(2), 241–264.
- Srnicek, N. (2017). *Platform Capitalism*. Polity Press.
- van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & de Waal, M. (2018). *The Platform Society: Public Values in a Connective World*. Oxford University Press.
- Woodcock, J., & Graham, M. (2020). *The Gig Economy: A Critical Introduction*. Polity Press.